App. F IX-4

Previous References

193 b.
(Mayan)

File No. 213-36
Serial Nos. 1 – 5

Letter References.

M. 1-39. (53, 69, 2687)
" 1-39 - 2242)
" 1-39 — 2930.
G.S. 157/43

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos.

Part B for May 1937.

SUBJECT.

Compulsory retirement of Mr S. Varamurthi Pothiran 2 the Temporary

Ld Mesy Court
No. 1461 P. 4 J - 37.

WD 0418—GPB—22,500—29-8-36

Approves of the Mesy Court proposal to appoint Mr Narasimhan as Revenue Inspector for the ~~managem~~ management of the Villages of Sri Rangamannarswami at Srivaramangalam

G. R S. APP F. 111

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Mines)

NOTES.

File No. 213 36.

FILE HEADING.

proceedings Notices under M.M.S. No
the Rules of Estate

FROM

No. [illegible] Dated 16 [illegible] Received

Diary No. 1062	Enclos.	Spare copies	Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER

Forwards that in the circumstances stated in the
Petition it may be directed that S. Narasimha
Reddiar may be permitted to Mortgage certain Inamdari, as
he is quite unfit from [illegible] for any other business to live upon
person basis

NOTES AND ORDERS.

II
8/2

Letter No C 955/35 dated 2
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

NOTES—First Sheet

1) The references quoted in the above two letters from the Tuticorin Commissioner are fully flagged. The I.G.'s letter dated 16.1.1937 explains the facts of the case fully. The allegations made against the Peshkar are five in number and are contained in para 2 of the letter.

2) The Tuticorin commissioner has believed the Peshkar's explanation regarding the above allegations in paras 2 to 4 of the said letter.

3) The Sub Division officer is of opinion that matters concerning the temples will much improve if an honest, considerate and educated man is posted to the place as Peshkar, particularly in view of the fact that Mr. Narasimhan's previous history has not been very good and he is stopping at Melkote for the last two years.

4) The Deputy Commissioner while stating that he fully agrees with the Sub Division officer in his findings, recommends that the Peshkar may be compulsorily retired as in his opinion he is quite unfit to be continued in charge of a responsible office of Peshkar of such an important temple and as he is not qualified for any other

D.O. No. Appl 25/36-3. dt. 19-2-37. from the Tuticorin Commissioner regarding case against Sri Venkatachariar Peshkar Tiruchendur Orders of the Govt. to see thereon

Serial No.

work and seems to be too dishonest to be continued in his present work.

5 The Mysuru Comm has pointed out that the entries in the Service Register of the peshkar show that he has a bad record from December 1933 and that he had not improved in spite of several warnings given to him. He has further stated that he agrees with the D.C in his opinion and has suggested sanction to compulsorily retire Sh S. Narasimhaiah in the circumstances of the case. Submitted for orders

By 6) The Dy. Comr., Mysore st., reports that within Narasimhaiya Asst of Sri Srikanteswara swamy temple at Nanjangud, there was a shortage of 439 seers of rice and 7 seers of dhal. and he was then that any further latches on his part result in his re which purchases means dismissal). Attention is invited to the shortage in stores supplied. Note at Melkote referred to in the report of the Sub-Dvn. Officer dated Krishnarajanagara Mysuru Comm.;

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

W D 0417—GPB—30,000—8

Retirement of peishkar Mr. Ramakrishnah of Tenkasi

Confl File No. 2:13 of 1936. General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial No.

the Sub-Asst. officer have not stated whether the explanation of the Peishkar in regard to the shortage in stores has been verified or accepted as satisfactory. The Peishkar states that one of the causes of the shortage in stores is the supply of 25 lbs of for the 25 lbs of. It is a matter difficult to believe that any contractor will supply 25 lbs of at the rate of Rs 3 per 25 lbs of as stated by the Peishkar, since the former cost Rs 2 or Rs 3 more per palla.

Peishkar is reported to be responsible for a temporary misappropriation of Rs 100. His explanation in regard to this has not been accepted. Two other cases of temporary misappropriation of cash is also reported by the Sivagiri Comm. in his letter of 1st Feby. 1937. The Sivagiri Comm. therefore proposes that the Peishkar may be compulsorily retired. The regular enquiry is being proceeded for the misappropriation referred to in the Sivagiri Comm's letter of 1st Feby. 1937.

23.2.37. 2) The charges enumerated in para 2 of the letter of M.C. dated 16.1.1937 have been investigated by the S.D.O. Tenkasi Nodu, and he has come to the conclusion that the explanation offered

681 / Compulsory retirement of V. Varadarajaya
1935 The Peshkar of Sira Narayana Swamy
1814 letter dt. Melkote.

General and Revenue Secretariat — File No. 213 of 193 6-7 — [App. F. III—2

Serial No.

by the Peshkar Mr V. Narasimhiah cannot be accepted and the D.C. Mysore District is also of the same opinion. The D.C. Mysore District is further of opinion that Mr Narasimhiah is unfit to be continued in charge of the subordinate Office of Peshkar of Melkote Temple on account of his dishonesty, and recommends that he may be compulsorily retired, and this recommendation is also supported by the Asst. C, and it is shown on a perusal of the records that the charges against the Peshkar are serious & warrant his continuance in the Office of the Peshkar, Peshkar, and the recommendation of D.C. may be sanctioned

2
3/3/-

(Sir Henry)

9) For perusal. There is something in the explanation given by the Peshkar and the charges cannot be considered as fully made out. Even if they are taken as made out the punishment proposed seems rather drastic. Reduction to a subordinate position from his present independent charge would seem to be sufficient punishment in the circumstances of the case.

First Member

OFFICE OF THE FIRST MEMBER OF COUNCIL
8 MAR. 1937
S665

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 0417—GPB—30,000—8 9 36

Compulsory retirement of S. Narasimhaiya,
Peshkar of Melkote Sri Narayana Swamy temple

Mlg File No. 213 of 1936-7

General and Re Secretariat

Serial No.

11) Subject as directed in para 10 Supra.

GS 28/3/37 First Mr)

12) WG 23/3/37

FIRST [illegible] MAR 1937 607

13) ~~He was~~ My idea was to decide the matter after my visit to Melkote As this has been cancelled Order may issue accepting the recomn re his removal from the Peshkar's appt As to his further punishment, please ask him whether a smaller clerical appt can be found for him. He may be on leave, meanwhile

JIO 23/3

14) Dft

WG 23/3/24

Submitted with a draft.

2 17/3/-

25.3.37.

16) Dft letter to C. as proposed directed in para

17) Put up typed copy of the draft as revised for approval.

2 27/3/-

18) Noted with the Typist's draft

25.3.37

19) For approval

2 29/3/-

Gen Secy 20) Dft for appt

29 MAR 1937

First Mr)

Draft appd as revised 1mn WG 29/3/37

2 30/3/- WG

Serial No.

3

Letter no 1330 d 31.3.1937 from the Mangalore Commissioner approving of his proposal to remove Mr S. N Narasimhaiya from the post of Peshkar and employing of a Smaller Clerical appointment could be found for him allowing him to go on such leave as he is entitled to in the meanwhile —

Letter P

Sir

The M.C has stated that Mr Narasimhiah is not fit to be appointed as a clerk in any of the offices as he has had little education with no experience of clerical work. He is now drawing Rs 72/- in grade Rs 60-4-80. The M.C He is of opinion that Mr Narasimhiah might be tried as a Special Revenue Inspector on a pay of Rs 50/- including allowance, either at Channarayapatna or at S. Vasanthkaru, as it may not be advisable to send him to Channarayapatna where he was formerly peshkar, the Taluk temple.

WD 0417 -GPE—30,000—8-9-36

Serial No.

The H.C has also reported that Mr Narasimhaiah has been granted the leave he entitled to pending final order. Vide for order with reference to para 13 of the Notes Supra.

BBR 27/4

26. If Mr. Narasimhaiya is appointed as a Special Revenue Inspector, he will be responsible for the collection of the income of the temple from its inam lands. ~~As~~ Mr. Narasimhaiya has been removed from the post of Peishkar of Sri Narayanaswami temple at Melkote ~~as he is said to be~~ on account of his dishonesty, since he is said to be responsible for shortages of cash and stores. (Vide para 4 of the Mysore Comr's letter of 15th April 1937 and the orders of Govt. in the ~~matter~~ dated 31.3.1937. ~~1937~~) It is therefore a point for orders whether it is desirable to post him as a Special Revenue Inspector, since he will then have to handle constantly a much larger amount of money than the amount he was handling as Peishkar at Melkote. The Mysore Comr. states that Mr. Narasimhaiya is unfit for a clerk's post as he does not possess the necessary educational qualifications

P. R. D. 17.

P.R.D. 25.3.37

Serial No.

27.

The recommendation of the M.C is that Sri Varadarajiah may be appointed as Special Revenue Inspector and posted to Madurai Taluk in connection with the management of Inam lands endowed to Sri Ranganathaswami Temple at Srirangam, on the pay of Rs 35 plus Rs 15 Sri Varadarajiya is now drawing Rs 72 in the grade of Rs 60. 4. 80, and the M.C. considers him as not fit to be appointed to Clerical establishment. The sanction of the C is for orders, reference para 3 of the notes supra.

2/28/4/-

Gen Secy) The MC's proposal to make him a Rev Inspector may be approved as he is said to be unfit for clerical work. Pro Rev Secy papers. 29/4 2/29/4/-

29 APR 1937 7144

First Member

1/5

30/4

Pro 30/4/37

Send with a draft letter

1937

1/5/37

WD 0417—GPB—30,000—6 9 36

File No. of 193[illegible].

General and Secretari

Serial No.

5

GEN'L & REV. SECRETARIAT
687
19 JAN 1937

No. 76/36-37.

Please Two-and four files
Revenue Service Registe

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER
IN MYSORE,

Bangalore, 12th January .... 1937.

Mez 213-36-1

FROM

K. V. ANANTARAMAN, ESQ., B.A.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

To

L. Venkatesa Iyengar, Esq., B.A.,
Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore,
GENERAL *Department.*

GENERAL SECRETARY
18 JAN. 1937

SIR

Complaints against Mr. S. Narasimhiah,
Peshkar of the Sri Narayanaswami and other Temples at Melkote.

With reference to your Memo No.360/Muz. dated 5th September 1936 forwarding for disposal, the petition of K. Rangaswami Iyengar and others of Melkote re. the above, I have the honor to forward herewith copies of letters No.T.C.94 dated 27th November, 10th and 15th December 1936 received from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, submitting a report in the matter.

2. In the several petitions submitted to the Government, this office and the Deputy Commissioner and other local officers, the complainants make the following allegations, among others, against the Peshkar.

(i) That the ironsmith, Puttachari, was not given the sanctioned remuneration for separating and removing the materials of the dilapidated Krishnaraja Theru:

(ii) That the Peshkar caused the inner Dokkasa to be opened one night at about 9 p.m. in the absence of Sthalamudre, Pathamudre, etc.

(iii) That on 15th August 1936, the Peshkar caused manuscript receipts to be issued for the cocoanuts offered by the devotees;

(iv) That there was shortage under certain items in the stock of provisions, as compared with the Stock Book; and

(v) That there was a shortage of Rs.100 in the cash balance of the temple on 5th November 1936.

As regards (i), the Amildar held a detailed enquiry examining the persons concerned and submitted his report dated 23rd April 1936. The

complaint was finally disposed of in accordance with the orders pas by the Sub Division Officer on 13th May 1936.

(ii), the Amildar, after enquiring and getting the Peshkar's explanation, found that though the petition had been signed by certain persons, yet it should be considered as "ಅನಾಮಧೇಯ", as the Bokkasa was opened under the orders of the Amildar and in the presence of Mathamudre, Sthalamudre and all other servants concerned as evidenced from the entries in the temple registers. The present reference is, therefore, confined to the remaining three complaints.

3. The reports submitted by the Sub Division Officer on 9th September 1936, 1st and 11th December 1936 deal fully with these complaints. The Peshkar has also furnished his explanation on the complaints in his statement dated 23rd September 1936 given before the Sub Division Officer and report dated 23rd November 1936. The explanations of the Nagadi Gumasta, T. S. Narasimha Iyengar and the Shroff, L. Krishna Murti, have also been obtained and submitted. Briefly stated, the Peshkar submits (1) that he was not personally responsible for the issue of manuscript receipts for cocoanuts offered by the devotees, as 15th August 1936 being the last day of Sravana and the influx of devotees being very heavy he was supervising the work on the Hill, that similar work in the Sri Narayanaswami temple had been entrusted to three of the Dharmadarsis, viz., Messrs. Venkataramana Sastri, Pandit Sampathkumariah and Venkataramana Setty, and that the printed tickets having been exhausted, manuscript receipts were issued by the Nagadi Gumasta as directed by the above Dharmadarsis. In their statement dated 15th August 1936, all the above three Dharmadarsis have admitted that this was done under their instructions and the irregularity was rectified by making the needful entries in the printed Book No.8 which was later supplied by the Peshkar.

As regards the shortage of rice and certain other articles, the Peshkar submits that the large difference in the item under rice is due to the fact that in striking the balance on 20th September 1936, the Jinsi Gumasta entered 593 seers instead of 293 and continued the same entry throughout and that the contractor, instead of supplying 9 seers of ಕಲಿ ಅಕ್ಕಿ, supplied ಬೆಣ್ಣೆ ಅಕ್ಕಿ. As regards certain other differences under ghee etc., the Peshkar submits that the day's expenditure had not been entered and if this is taken into account, both the stock and the

entries in the Stock Register will tally.

Next, as regards the shortage of cash, the Peshkar submits that as per mamul, he took out the bag containing the cash imprest from the iron safe at about 9 a.m. and gave it to the Shroff, that the latter on his own responsibility and without the knowledge of the Peshkar, sent notes to the bazaar to get change, that when the Sub Division Officer was counting the amount, the notes that had been sent by the Shroff forgetting change were got back in his presence who, after words said that he was satisfied and that he was in no way responsible for all this, as the money meant for current expenses had been given to the custody of the Shroff and as he remained with the Sub Division Officer all through the period of his stay at Melkote.

4. For reasons explained, the Sub Division Officer states that he is not prepared to accept the explanation offered by the Peshkar, that there was enough stock of printed books, that there would have been no harm if one or two extra books had been left with the Nagadi Gumasta in view of the heavy rush of devotees, that it was doubtful whether the amounts recovered on manuscript receipts were actually brought to account and credited to the temple funds, that the explanation of the Peshkar and the Nagadi Gumasta that they brought on these amounts later on into the printed receipt books and credited them after the parties had been sent away by being given manuscript receipts cannot be true, as all these receipts with foils had not been preserved in the records and as no report of the incident had been submitted to the Amildar. As regards the shortage of certain items in the stock of provisions, the Sub Division Officer considers that the conduct of the Peshkar does not seem to be above board as an examination of the stock-on-hand by the Amildar, it was found that the stock did not tally with the accounts. As regards the shortage of Rs 100, even though the amount was produced, the Sub Division Officer states that there was no need to get change as there was enough of change available in the temple itself and that the Peshkar's conduct is ~~was~~ suspicious. He is, therefore, of opinion that matters will much improve if an honest, conscientious and educated man is posted to this place as Peshkar, particularly in view of the fact that this Peshkar's previous

ory has not been very good and he is stopping at Kelkote for the two years. The Deputy Commissioner, while stating that he fully agrees with the Sub Division Officer in his findings, recommends that Peshkar may be compulsorily retired as in his opinion he is quite unfit to be continued in charge of a responsible office of Peshkar of an important temple and as he is not qualified for any other work seems to be too dishonest to be continued in his present work.

7. The entries in the Service Register show that this Peshkar had a record from December 1933 and that he has not improved in spite of several warnings issued to him.

8. I agree with the Deputy Commissioner in the opinion expressed and I therefore request that sanction of Government to his proposal to compulsorily retire the Peshkar may be obtained.

9. The entire file of vernacular records is sent for reference. A petition received from the Dharmadarsis, which is perhaps the result of clever moves on the part of the Peshkar, is also enclosed.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

[signature]

Huzrat Commissioner.

CONFIDENTIAL.

Letter No.T.C.94 dated 27-11-36 from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

Referring to your Memo No.P.C.96 of 36-37 dated 9th November 1936, calling for an early report regarding the allegations against C. Narasimha Peshkar of the Melkote temple, I have the honor to state as follows:-

Mr. Narasimhaiya is now in the grade is 60-4-70 with no more literary or departmental qualifications than a Menial. He started his service on as 8 a month in 1901. His record of service during the last four or five years has been discreditable. The following is an extract of Censure recorded in his Service Register during his term of office as Peshkar of Nanjangud Temple relating to the shortage of provisions in the Nanjangud temple.-

> "The conduct of the temple Peshkar was ordered to be severely noticed in connection with the shortage of 439 seers of rice and 7 seers of dhal in the stores of Sri Srikanteswaraswami temple, Nanjangud. (Deputy Commissioner's Memo No.L.R.475/33 dated 10-12-1933) and he is further warned that any further latches observed would result in his removal".

case of false nominal roll of coolies maintained by Mr. Narasimhaiya came to the notice of the Sub Division Officer, Nanjangud, (Mr. Srinivasan) Several thumb impressions in the nominal roll were ascertained by reference to the Thumb Impression Expert as those of the same thumb. Though, in his anxiety to exculpate himself, the Peshkar made good the amount, the Sub Division Officer, Mr. R. Srinivasan, recommended suspension and further investigation of the frauds of the funds by the Police. The then Deputy Commissioner, Mr. Rupputswamiengar, reported to the Muzrai Commissioner in his letter No.D.C.1136/33 dated 14th September 1934 that, pending investigation of certain complaints, he is transferred from Nanjangud to Melkote temples. The further investigation does not seem to have been conducted. As regards the present allegations, Mr. M. D. Khaleel, Sub Division Officer Trench Rocks, has conducted an enquiry ~~into the~~ This enquiry has proved (1) the issue of fraudulent slips of paper to devotees instead of receipts in counterfoil book form, (2) as also the shortage of 36 seers of rice and other articles in the provision stores. I fully agree with the Sub Division Officer in his findings and I am also of opinion that Mr. Narasimhaiya is quite unfit to be continued in charge of a responsible office of Peshkar of such an important temple. I recommend that he may be compulsorily retired, as he is not qualified for any other work and seems

be too dishonest to be ontinued in his present work.

The connected records ending with Nanjangud Sub Division Officer's report dated 2nd January 1934 and also the records relating to the present allegations ending with French Rocks Sub Division Officer's report dated 10th October 1936 are herein enclosed for perusal and orders. The Service Register of Mr. Narasimhaiya is also enclosed.

Letter No.T.C.94 dated 10-12-36 from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, to the Mysore Commissioner in Mysore.

In continuation of my confidential letter No.T.C.94 dated 27th November 1936 regarding the allegations against the conduct of Mr. Narasimhaiya, Peshkar of Melkote temple, I have the honor to forward herewith the correspondence ending with French Rocks Sub Division Officer's No.Mus.A3-242/36-37 dated 1st December 1936, about the shortage of Rs 100 in the cash balance of the temple when the Sub Division Officer paid a surprised visit to the temple. The Sub Division Officer suspects the conduct of the Peshkar and requests early orders in the matter.

In the circumstances detailed in my letter of the 27th November, 1936 and from the above, I request early orders.

Letter No.T.C.94/36-37 dated 15-12-36 from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, to the Mysore Commissioner in Mysore.

In continuation of my letter No.T.C.94/36-37 dated 10th December 1936 reporting against the conduct of Mr. Narasimhiah, Peshkar of Melkote Temple, I have the honor to forward herewith another report of enquiry relating to the issue of manuscript receipts for the cocoanuts to the temple by the devotees, and to state that the Sub Division Officer is of opinion that the conduct of the Peshkar is highly suspicious.

I request the favour of early orders in the matter.

"C O P I E S"

M N Anandalwar

For Mysore Commissioner.

MUZRAI

No. 663 ... 3 37

Encl: one & one file

GEN. & R. SECRETARIAT
745
3 - FEB. 1937
MUZRAI BRANCH

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE.

Bangalore,.... 1st..February. ....193 7.

2nd

FROM

K. V. ANANTARAMAN, ESQ., B.A.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

Muz 213-36-2

TO

M. Venkatesa Iyengar, Esq., M.A.,
Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
..... General ..... *Department.*

SIR,

Complaints against Mr. S. Narasimhiah,
Peshkar of the Sri Narayanaswami Temple at Melkote.

In continuation of this office letter No.P.C.96/36-37 dated 16-1-1937 reporting on the above, I have the honor to forward herewith a copy of letter No.H.742/36-37 dated 20th January 1937 from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, bringing to notice two instances of temporary misappropriation of temple funds by the Nagadi Gumasta and opining that suitable action may be taken against the Peshkar for dereliction of his duty in not seeing to the prompt credit of the temple money either to the temple or Taluk Treasury and for not bringing to notice the conduct of the Nagadi Gumasta immediately after the incident.

I am also of opinion that there has been temporary misappropriation and that the Peshkar's conduct is also suspicious. I submit the above for the information of Government and solicit the favour of early orders on the proposal already made by me.

The connected file is sent for reference and return.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

K V Anantaraman

Muzrai Commissioner.

Letter No.H.742/36-37 dated 20-1-37 from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

In forwarding herewith the report of enquiry into the allegations against the Nagadi Gumasta, M. B. Narasimhachar, and the Peshkar of Melkote Sri Narayanaswami temple, of temporary misappropriation of the temple funds as detailed in the margin, conducted by the Sub Division Officer, French Rocks, I have the honour to state as has been instructed to proceed with the prosecution of the Nagadi Gumasta.

(1) The amount of the monthly bills of the temple for November 1936 cashed in the Seringapatam Taluk Treasury on 18th December 1936 was not credited by him to the cash account of the temple on the same date, but that it was credited in two instalments--once on 20th December 1936 and again on 21st December 1936.

(2) The T.P.C. for Rs140-2-0 being the puduvat amount of Bella-Toadgi Varadachar, which was endorsed in favour of the Nagadi Gumasta by the peshkar was cashed by him, but not credited to the temple funds.

As regards the conduct of the Peshkar for his dereliction of duty in not seeing to the prompt credit of the temple funds either to the temple treasury or to the taluk treasury and for not bringing to notice the conduct of the Nagadi Gumasta immediately, I request that, as suggested by the Sub Division Officer, French Rocks, suitable action may be taken against him as already proposed.

"COPY"

M. N Anandachar

For Muzrai Commissioner.

Mysr. B.R

D. O. Appl No 25/36 37.

[App. F. III—2

Mr. K. V. Anantaraman, B.A.,
Muzrai Commissioner.

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER
IN MYSORE,

Bangalore, 19th February 1937.



Dear Sir,

In inviting reference to this office letter No. 963/36-37 dated the 2nd February 1937, requesting orders on my proposals in re. Mr. S. Narasimhiah, Peshkar, Melkote Temple, I request that early orders of Government may kindly be obtained and communicated to me.

Yours sincerely,

K. Anantaraman

To

K. Venkatesa Iyengar, Esq., B.A.,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
General Department, Bangalore.

File No. of 193 .

General and Re
Secretariat

Serial
No.

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

(21

Bangalore, Dated, 31st March 1937.

No. 1330 Rev 1, one Service Register and 4 files.
Muz 213-36-3

From

The *General Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.*

To

The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,

Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.I.C.96/36-37 dated 16th January 1937 recommending sanction to compulsorily retire Mr.S. Narasimhaiya, Teshkar of Sri Narayanaswami Temple at Melkote in the circumstances set forth therein and the subsequent letters Nos.C.965 dated 2nd February 1937 and Appl.No.28 dated 19th February 1937 on the subject, I am directed to state that Government accept your proposal regarding the removal of Mr. S.Narasimhaiya from the post of Teshkar.

In this connection I am to state that it is considered that reduction to a subordinate position from his present independent charge would be sufficient punishment if a suitable clerical appointment can be found. I am therefore to enquire whether it would be possible to find room for a suitable clerical appointment. In the meanwhile he may be granted such leave as he is entitled to.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Nur Jafar Khan

for General Secretary to Government.

C.M.3

1330 dt. 31-3-37

To Muzrai 213-26-3 (2)

The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

Enc: one, re Service Register and ~~Personal~~ four files.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.P.C. 96/36-37 dated 16th January 1937 recommending sanction to compulsorily retire Mr.S. Narasimhaiya, Peshkar of Sri Narayanaswami Temple at Melkote under the circumstances set forth therein and the subsequent letters Nos.C.963 dated 2nd February 1937 and Appl. No.25 dated 19th February 1937 on the subject, I am directed to state that Government accept your proposal regarding the removal of Mr.S. Narasimhaiya from the post of Peshkar.

In this connection, I am ~~further~~ to enquire whether it is ~~would~~ not ~~be possible~~ to find for him ~~appoint him to~~ a smaller clerical appointment. In the meanwhile he may be granted such leave as he is entitled to.

I have etc.,

29/3/37

General Secretary to Govt.

In this connection I am to state that it is considered that reduction to a Sub-position from his present independent charge would be sufficient punishment if a suitable clerical appointment can be found. I am therefore to enquire whether it would be possible

TYPING SECTION 31 MAR. 1937

Orders of the Com Sec by 30/3 a Peon

V. SECRETARIAT
0 5
1937

OFFICE OF CH SIONER
MYSORE,

Bangalore,.... 15th April .... 1937.

W Leg 213 - 31

K. V. ANANTARAMAN, Esq., B.A.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore

GENERAL SECRETARY
20 APR 1937

M. Venkatesa Iyengar, Esq., M.A.,
Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
.....General..... *Department.*

Sir, Muzrai

Complaint against Mr. S. Narasimhiah, Peshkar of the Melkote Temple.

With reference to your letter No.1330/Muz.213-36-3 dated 31st March 1937 re. the above, I have the honor to state that Mr. S. Narasimhiah is not fit to be appointed as a clerk in any of the offices, as he has had little education with no experience of clerical work. He is now drawing Rs.72 in grade Rs.60-4-80. Two temporary Special Establishments with the work connected with the management of the inam villages endowed to the Sri Chamarajeswaraswami temple at Chamarajnagar and Sri Ranganathaswami temple at Sivasamudram have been entertained from February 1931 and June 1929, respectively, and the present sanct will expire by the end of June 1937. It is likely that the establishments have to be continued for some time longer, having regard to the extent of arrears still outstanding and other items of work connected with the administration of the villages. The pay of the Special Revenue Inspector in the former case is fixed at Rs.40 plus Rs.10 and Rs.35 plus Rs.15 in the latter. I am of opinion that Mr. Narasimhiah may be tried as a Revenue Inspector for some time and posted to the Malavalli Taluk as Special Revenue Inspector, as it may not be advisable to send him to Chamarajnagar as he was formerly the Peshkar of the temple there. I solicit the favour of early orders in the matter.

The Deputy Commissioner has been requested to grant Mr. Narasimhiah such leave as he is entitled to pending final orders.

The entire records are returned.

I have the honor to be

Sir,

Your most obedient serva

F. Anderson

Muzrai Commissioner.

UBJECT .....

.....

.....

Section Mis

File No. 213-36

Serial No. 5

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

No. 1461 from Collector Salem Revenue Register.

To The M.C in Mysore

Sir

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193* .

Date of issue 4-5-37

Signed by Mr. Safdar Hussain

Hussain

With reference to correspondence ending with your letter M.P.C 96/36-7 dated 16-4-1937 I am directed to state that Govt. approve of ~~Sunday appointed by Govt. to~~ proposal to lay elu Narasimha perikkal of the temple at Kakkade as a Revenue Inspection a ~~per annum~~ pay of Rs 35 plus Rs 15 for same time and to post him to the Malabalis Taluk as a Special Revenue Inspector in connection with the management of the Inam Lands of Sri Ranganathaswami Temple at Srivaramangalam"

List of enclosures .....

Copied by .....

Examined by .....

WD 71—GBPM—40,000—20-7-31.

With ~~your letter~~ referred to above ~~are returned~~
herewith.

Thanks

2/11/-
Dr Gould Esq
BR
11/11/37

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF SORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

No. 1461 Dated, Bangalore, 3rd May 1937. 193XX

From Mzg 213-36-5 Wits service Regarding

The *General Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.*

To

The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,

Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to correspondence ending with your letter No.P.C.96/36-37 dated 16th April 1937, I am directed to state that Government approve of your proposal to try Mr. Narasimiah Peishkar of the Sri Narayanaswami temple at Melkote as a Revenue Inspector on the pay of Rs.35/- plus Rs.15/- per mensem for some time and to post him to the Malavalli Taluk as a special Revenue Inspector in connection with the management of the inam lands of Sri Ranganathaswami temple at Sivasamudram.

The records received with your letter referred to above are returned herewith.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

for General Secretary to Government.

ACH.2.